RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

APR 2 3 2007

Law Offices of

CHAPMAN AND CUTLER LLP Intellectual Property Department

111 West Monroe Street, Chicago, Illinois 60603

Telephone 1•312•845•3000 FAX 1•312• 701•2361 Direct Dial 1•312•845•3919 Direct Fax: 1•312•803•5299

FACSIMILE COVER PAGE

This transmission consists of 10 page(s) including cover page.

Please call (312) 845-3734 if you have any problems with this transmission. Date: Monday, April 23, 2007		
Firm/Company: United States Patent and Trademark Office		
Fax Number: 571-273-8300		
From: Robert J. Schneider, Reg. No. 27,383	Matter Number:	1715325
Serial No. U.S. Pat. App. Ser. No. 10/718,436 Comments:	Extension:	3919
RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION ATTACHED.		
Robert J. Schneider		
	•	
NOTICE OF CONFIDENTIALITY: The information contained in information which may contain information that is legally privileged and exeminformation is intended solely for the use of the individual or entity named above hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or taking of any actitransmission is strictly prohibited. If you have received this facsimile transmittelephone to arrange for the return of the original transmission to us.	opt from disclosure under a . If you are not the intender on in reliance on the context.	pplicable law. The decipient, you are

U.S. Pat. App. Ser. No. 10/718,436 Attorney Docket No. 1715325

RECEIVED IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE CENTRAL FAX CENTER APR 23 2007 In re Patent Application of: Examiner: ALLISON, Andrae S. Kaoru KUMAGAI et al. Group Art Unit: 2624 Serial No.: 10/718,436 Confirmation No.: 9842 Filing Date: November 20, 2003 For: **AUTOMATIC TRACKING** APPARATUS FOR REFLECTOR

FILED VIA FACSIMILE TO 571-273-8300

Mail Stop AMENDMENT Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Greetings:

The following is filed in timely response to the Office Action mailed on January 22, 2007, wherein the Examiner:

- 1. Rejected Claim 1 under Section 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kitajima, U.S. Patent No. 5,589,939, in view of Matsunaga, U.S. Patent No. 6,826,293.
- 2. Rejected Claim 3 under Section 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kitajima, U.S. Patent No. 5,589,939, in view of Matsunaga, U.S. Patent No. 6,826,293, further in view of Gotoh, U.S. Patent No. 6,907,133.

2212734.01.02.doc 1715325 3. Found Claim 2 allowable if rewritten in independent form including all limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims, but objected to claim 2 on grounds of being dependent upon a rejected base claim.

The following amendments and remarks are submitted in response.

Amendments to the Claims begin on page 3 of this paper.

Remarks begin on page 7 of this paper.